IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

IN RE: SMITH & NEPHEW BIRMINGHAM HIP RESURFACING (BHR) HIP IMPLANT PRDOUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

STARLLA MEIKLE,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

SMITH AND NEPHEW, INC.

Defendant.

MDL No. 2775 Master Docket No. 1:17-md-2775

JUDGE CATHERINE C. BLAKE

DIRECT-FILED SHORT FORM COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. 5

Civil Action No.: 1:18-cv-1458

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

1. Plaintiff, Starlla Meikle, states and brings this civil action in MDL No. 2775, entitled *In re: Smith & Nephew Birmingham Hip Resurfacing (BHR) Hip Implant Products Liability Litigation*. Plaintiff is filing this Short Form Complaint pursuant to CMO No. 3, entered by this Court.

PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. Plaintiff is a resident and citizen of Vancouver, WA and claims damages as set forth below.
 - 3. Federal jurisdiction is proper based on diversity of citizenship.
- 4. The Federal District in which Plaintiff's initial implant took place: Colorado District Court.
- 5. The Federal District in which Plaintiff's revision(s) surgeries took place: Oregon District Court.
 - 6. Plaintiff brings this action [check the applicable designation]:

☑ On behalf of herself;
In a representative capacity as the of the
having been duly appointed as the by the
Court of A copy of the Letters of Administration
for a wrongful death claim is annexed hereto if such letters are required for the
commencement of such a claim by the Probate, Surrogate or other appropriate court of
the jurisdiction of the decedent.
{Cross out if not applicable.}
FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS
On or about June 2, 2008, Plaintiff underwent surgery during which the Smith &

- 7. On or about June 2, 2008, Plaintiff underwent surgery during which the Smith & Nephew BHR Resurfacing System was implanted into Plaintiff's LEFT hip.
- 8. Plaintiff's LEFT BHR implant surgery was performed at Vail Valley Medical Center in Vail, CO by Dr. Peter J. Millett.
- 9. Plaintiff underwent medically-indicated revision of the LEFT BHR hip implant on or about August 14, 2017. [OR: Plaintiff's medical provider(s) have recommended revision but Plaintiff has not been medically cleared to undergo revision surgery: _____(checkbox)]
- 10. Plaintiff's revision surgery was performed at Portland VA Medical Center in Portland, WA by Dr. Kenneth R. Gundle.
- 11. Plaintiff suffered the following complications, injuries, and/or indications, some or all of which made revision surgery medically necessary: Including, but not limited to, physical injuries, pain, significantly elevated metal levels, metallosis, pseudotumor, swelling, inflammation, lack of mobility, bone loss, hair loss, skin problems, weight loss, and vision change.

12.	[IF BILATERAL]: Plaintiff's (LEFT/RIGHT) BHR implant surgery was
performed at _	by
13.	[IF BILATERAL]: On or about, Plaintiff underwent surgery
during which	the Smith & Nephew BHR Resurfacing System was implanted into Plaintiff's
(LEFT/RIGHT	Γ) hip.
14.	[IF BILATERAL]: Plaintiff underwent medically-indicated revision of the
(LEFT/RIGHT	Γ) BHR hip implant on or about [OR: Plaintiff's
medical provid	der(s) have recommended revision but Plaintiff has not been medically cleared to
undergo revisi	on surgery:(checkbox)]
15.	[IF BILATERAL] Plaintiff's revision surgery was performed by
	at
16.	Plaintiff adopts the allegations of the Master Amended Consolidated Complaint
("MACC") file	ed August 11, 2017, and any and all amendments to the MACC.
17.	Notwithstanding the foregoing, Plaintiff does not adopt the following paragraphs
of the MACC:	.
18.	Notwithstanding the foregoing, Plaintiff additionally alleges that: Plaintiff's
revision surger	ry included bone reconstruction and implantation of bone screws. As a result of her
revision surge	ry, plaintiff suffered permanent injuries, including, but not limited to, permanent
pain, lack of m	nobility, lack of range of motion, and weight gain.
	ALLEGATIONS AS TO INJURIES
19. (a) Plaintiff claims damages as a result of (check all that are applicable):
\boxtimes	INJURY TO HERSELF
П	INJURY TO THE PERSON REPRESENTED

			WRONG	FUL DEA	ГН						
			SURVIV	ORSHIP A	CTION						
		\boxtimes	ECONO	MIC LOSS							
		(b) Plainti	iff's spous	e claims da	mages as a r	esul	t of (check a	ll that are	appl	licable):	
		[Cross out	t if not app	licable.]							
			LOSS OF	SERVICE	ES						
			LOSS OF	CONSOR	TIUM						
	20.	Defend	dant, by	its actions	or inaction	ns,	proximately	caused	the	injuries	to
Plainti	iff(s).										
<u>]</u>	DEF	ENDANT	-SPECIFI	C ALLEG	ATIONS A	<u>ND</u>	THEORIES	S OF RE	COV	/ERY	
	21.	The fo	llowing cl	aims and al	legations are	e ass	serted by Plai	ntiff(s) a	nd ar	e herein	
adopte	ed by	reference	under the	laws of the	following st	ate ((check all tha	it are app	licab	le):	
\boxtimes	CO	UNT I (st	trict produ	cts liability	: Oregon)						
\boxtimes	CO	UNT II (n	egligence:	Oregon)							
\boxtimes	CO	UNT III (strict prod	ucts liabilit	y failure to v	varn	: Oregon)				
\boxtimes	CO	UNT IV (negligent t	failure to w	arn: Oregon))					
\boxtimes	CO	UNT V (n	egligent n	nisrepresen	tation: Orego	on)					
\boxtimes	CO	UNT VI (negligence	e per se: Or	egon)						
\boxtimes	CO	UNT VII	(breach of	express wa	rranties: Ore	egon	1)				
\boxtimes	CO	UNT VIII	(manufac	turing defe	ct: Oregon)						
\boxtimes	CO	UNT IX(punitive d	amages: Or	egon)						

	In addition to the above, Plaintiff(s) assert the following additional causes of action under
applic	able state law:

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) pray for judgment against Defendant as follows:

- 1. For compensatory damages;
- 2. Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;
- 3. Statutory damages and relief of the state whose laws will govern this action;
- 4. Costs and expenses of this litigation;
- 5. Reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as provided by law;
- 6. Equitable relief in the nature of disgorgement; and
- 7. All other relief as the Court deems necessary, just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Plaintiff(s) hereby demand(s) a trial by jury as to all claims in Complaint so triable.

Dated: May 21, 2018 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Ahmed S. Diab
AHMED S. DIAB
GOMEZ TRIAL ATTORNEYS
John H. Gomez (CA SBN 171485)
Ahmed S. Diab (CA SBN 262319)
Jessica S. Williams (CA SBN 314762)
655 West Broadway, Suite 1700
San Diego, CA 92101

Tel: (619) 237-3490 Fax: (619) 237-3496 Attorneys for Plaintiff